

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,881	06/09/2000	Jeongmin Moon	3430-0105P	1734
7590 01/13/2005			EXAMINER	
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
P O Box 747				
Falls Church, V	'A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application N .	Applicant(s)	
09/589,881	MOON, JEONGMIN	
Examin r	- Art Unit	
HOAN C. NGUYEN	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	!
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11,14-21,23 and 24</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
Supervisory Patent examiner	

TECKNICLOGY JENTER 2000

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claim 10 raises the following New Issues: a reflector in the display panel to reflect light through the liquid crystal..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. The Applicant submits that it is not possible for light ray L4 to be directed toward the reflector.
- B. The reference includes the example to show that the goal of uniform light reflection is not met.

Examiner's responses to Applicants' ONLY arguments are follows:

- A. Fig. 1 shows one possible example of light ray L4, which is toward the reflector. Using Snell's Law in Optics, Examiner provides another possible example of light ray L4, which is toward the reflector (Attachment).
- B. The claims do not cites "the light directing member using for uniform light reflection". Therefore, the argument is irrelevant.

Moreover, in the instant inventive application, applicants claim the structures of a light-directing member with convex portions, wherein the angle between the lower surface and a surface connecting the planar surface of the convex portion is about 90 degrees [similar to angle in Figs. 5 (0 degree) or Fig. 6 (2 degrees) or Fig. 7 (5 degrees) of Shinji et al.].

Applicants have to provide a proof for the goal of uniform light reflection of the claimed structures of a light-directing member with an affidavit.